Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/790,607	STINSON, JONATHAN S.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jermie Cozart	3726	
All Participants:	articipants: Status of Application: <u>allowed</u>		
(1) <u>Jermie Cozart</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Edward Kriegsman</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 9 June 2006	Time: <u>2:18pm</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: Solovay (5,843,161) in view of Thompson et al. (5,957,974)			
Claims discussed: 23			
Prior art documents discussed: Solovay (5,843,161)			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	e examiner will provide a writto ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: During a telephone conversation with Edward Kriegsman, the Examiner indicated to Mr. Kriegsman that it was necessary to amend claim 23 in order to overcome the Solovay reference based on the fact that the functional language added to claim 23 by the RCE did not overcome the rejection of record. Mr. Kriegsman agreed to amend the claim with the limitations suggested by the Examiner, in order to place the application in condition or allowance..